

SMOOTH TRIES TO
WHEN CASE ALONG

Senate to Take Smoot
Up and Dispose
of It.

SMOOTH IS MADE AND
SENT IS WITHDRAWN

Teller Opposes the Im-
mediate Consideration
of the Case.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

June 2.—Chairman
Teller to have the Senate
take the Smoot case up Mon-
day, saying that he had
been asked to do so by the full com-
mittee, representing the
Senate. He said he would
not do so at this session.

LOOKING FOR HUSBAND WHO HAD RUN AWAY

The Man in Question, It Is Said, Is Being Held at Provo.

Special to The Tribune.
EUREKA, June 2.—George Leslie, a re-
sident of Salt Lake City, but formerly
of this place, has been taken into custody
by Sheriff Whitehead of this county.

Leslie, it appears, started out a few
days ago to walk from Salt Lake to this
city, but stopped at Homansville, a
pumping station, just over the line in
Utah county.

Imagining, it is said, that his wife was
pursuing him, he wandered over the
country and in among the hills for three
days and nights, suffering many hard-
ships. When he became hungry he would
return to Homansville and ask for food.

Taken to Provo.
Leslie was taken to Provo, where he
will be examined as to his sanity. Some
time ago he was arrested in Salt Lake
City for failing to provide for his wife
and children. People here who are ac-
quainted with the family say the man's
mind has been failing for some time.

On Hunt for Husband.
Hurriedly entering the Salt Lake police
station shortly after noon yesterday, ac-
companied by a boy and a girl, presum-
ably her children, Mrs. George Leslie, wife
of the man imprisoned at Provo, because
of his alleged insanity, anxiously in-
quired for her husband.

She stated that she had journeyed from
Eureka to this city to locate her spouse,
who, she had been informed, was at one
of the local hospitals. Assisted by the
police, the woman called upon the various
hospitals by telephone, but was un-
successful in finding her husband.

Wouldn't Give Details.
When asked for details concerning the
matter, she refused to talk, and appeared
very much alarmed for Leslie's safety.
Failing to secure the desired infor-
mation, she dashed out of headquarters, fol-
lowed by the children, and has not since
reappeared.

The police do not know her present
address, but it is supposed that by this
time the woman has learned of her hus-
band's fate.

OLD HEIDELBERG Project for Restoration of Castle Meets Storm of Protests.

KARLSRUHE, Germany, June 2.—The
Government of Baden today sent a mes-
sage to the Diet regarding the restora-
tion of Heidelberg castle, partially de-
stroyed by Marshal Turenne, when he
laid waste the Palatinate. The message
affirmed that the restoration would be
limited to the rebuilding necessary to
preserve the sculpture and other re-
mains of renaissance art and that the
rest of the castle would be left in its
ruined condition.

Protest Against Project.
Energetic protests have been made of
recent years against the project for the
restoration of Heidelberg castle by ar-
tists, authors, professors and antiquaries,
on the ground that it would be a desecra-
tion of historical and poetical associa-
tions of the most ancient of the German
castles which was begun in the thirteenth
century. The Baden government, however,
has outlined a plan designed to in-
terfere as little as possible with the
character of the ruins.

Beef Report Received
Will Be Sent to Congress With Vig-
orous Special Message.

WASHINGTON, June 2.—President
Roosevelt this afternoon received the com-
pleted report of Prof. Charles P. Neill
and Commissioner of Labor James B.
Reynolds, a brief of the investigation into
the condition of the meat packing houses of
the country. The matter submitted to the
President aggregates about 10,000 words
and is a brief of the investigation made
by Messrs. Neill and Reynolds.

Report Put in Shape.
The text of the brief was discussed
thoroughly and was welded finally into
the form in which it will be transmitted
to Congress next Monday. The report, as
stated heretofore, will be accompanied by
a special message of the President, in
which he will deal vigorously with the
facts developed by the inquiry.

Substitute Submitted
Makes Government Liable for Costs of
Meat Inspection.

WASHINGTON, June 2.—The Wads-
worth substitute for the Beveridge amend-
ment to the Agricultural Appropriation
bill has been completed and introduced
into the House by Representative Wads-
worth. The substitute has been submitted to
the committee at its session Wednesday. It
will not be made public.

Government to Pay Costs.
The substitute is said to follow the
lines of the Beveridge amendment with
the vital exception that it places the cost
of inspection upon the Government. It
also provides that the Government shall be
liable for the cost of inspection and re-
stricts the jurisdiction of the Secretary
of Agriculture in the power to make regu-
lations, given him in the Beveridge
amendment.

Swims Thirty Miles
Marvelous Feat of Endurance by
Half-Bred Hawaiian.

HONOLULU, June 2.—Through the cap-
tivity of a boat occupied by Edward De-
vauchelle, a half-white, and three native
companions, off Maui island, last Tuesday
morning, a half-bred Hawaiian, who was
drowned, Devauchelle saved his life by
extraordinary effort.

After swimming for seventeen hours,
Devauchelle secured temporary footing on
a rock on which he slept all day Wednes-
day. On Thursday he took a further
swim of two miles and landed at Moikoi.

Natives Lacked Stamina.
One of the natives accompanied Devau-
chelle for the first sixteen hours follow-
ing the capsize of the boat and then
sank exhausted. It is estimated that the
former swimmer had been in the water
for a distance of thirty miles, including the
distance he was carried by the currents.

A Woman in the Case.
PHOENIX, Ariz., June 2.—William Cur-
ley, alias Charles B. Williams, was shot
and killed today by E. E. Waller near
Mesa City, twenty miles from here. Waller
married Williams' divorced wife, and
trouble has existed between the men ever
since. Williams came here from Texas
many years ago, changing his name be-
cause of trouble in which he had been
involved in Missouri. Waller is in cus-
tody.



UTAH'S DAY IS DAWNING

FEDERATION OF MINERS' SESSION

Adopt Strong Resolutions in
Favor of Imprisoned
Officers.

EXTEND SYMPATHY TO
STRIKING MEXICANS

Express Firm Belief in Inno-
cence of Moyer, Pettibone
and Haywood.

DENVER, June 2.—The convention of
the Western Federation of Miners today
adopted resolutions bearing on the im-
prisonment of their head officers in Idaho,
and also the trouble yesterday at Cana-
nea, Mexico. The former read as fol-
lows:

Resolutions.
"Whereas, The trial of Moyer, Haywood
and Pettibone has been postponed until
next December; and

"Whereas, The prosecution of the State
of Idaho has had the trial postponed to
find out whether they can legally hold the
prisoners after having kidnapped them; and

"Whereas, This body of delegates to the
fourteenth annual convention have every
confidence in the innocence of our officers;
therefore, be it

Conspiracy Condemned.
"Resolved, That we, in convention as-
sembled, condemn this high-handed and
damnable conspiracy on the part of Gov-
ernors Gooding and McDonald and the
Mine Owners' association, and demand
that either the prisoners have a trial im-
mediately, or be released on bonds. Be it
further

Resolved, That a copy of these resolu-
tions be wired to President Roosevelt and
Senator Patterson, at Washington, D. C."

Mexican Labor Troubles.
The resolutions on the Cananea trouble
follows:

"Whereas, the telegraphic dispatches
herald the fact that the Mexicans in the
mines of Cananea, Mex., ask for an in-
crease in wages, and through these at-
tempts for better conditions violence is
now being done in Mexico; and

"Whereas, the Western Federation of
Miners recognize the class struggle
throughout the world, and know no race
division in the battle for industrial free-
dom; therefore, be it

Loss of Life Deplored.
"Resolved, That while we deplore the
loss of life and property, the Western
Federation of Miners, in convention as-
sembled, send greetings to the Mexicans,
trusting that their efforts for a higher
standard of living will be crowned with
success."

Telegrams were received from former
President Boyce expressing regret at be-
ing unable to attend the convention and
from the headquarters of the Interna-
tional Union of United Brickway Work-
men, at Cincinnati, extending sympathy
to Moyer, Haywood and Pettibone, and
pledging their organization to remain
with them to the last.

To Continue Publications.
The convention continued to discuss
amendments to the constitution and by-
laws. The question of extending the
chain of papers and magazines published
by the organization was passed on to the
executive committee with instructions to
act at their judgment dictated.

FRIGHTFUL ACCIDENT ON TROLLEY ROAD

Private Outing Party Has Ter-
rible Ending to Pleasure
Trip.

PROVIDENCE, R. I., June 2.—A large
15-seated open car, chartered by a pri-
vate party and returning to this city
from Crescent park, left the rails on a
sharp curve in East Providence last
night and was overturned. Eleven per-
sons were killed and twenty injured.

The Dead.
JOHN SNYDER, Providence.
ALICE FRANKLIN MAGILL, Thorn-
ton.
BERTHA A. KELLY, Thornton.
A. G. AMBONIA, Thornton.
ETHEL WHITELEY, Providence.
GUS GUETTIN, Thornton.
BERTHA FRANKLIN, Thornton.
FOUR UNIDENTIFIED.

Returning From Outing.
The car was chartered by a party from
Thornton who were returning home after
a day's outing at Crescent park, a resort
on the Providence river. Moore's cor-
ner, the scene of the accident, is five
miles from Providence, and is situated
midway between Crescent park and the
town of East Providence.

Car Overturned.
On the Crescent park side of the corner
there is a heavy grade, at the foot of
which is a sharp curve. The car came
down the grade at a rapid rate of speed
and striking the curve, slipped from the
rails and was overturned. The car left
the rails with such great force that the
body left the trucks and jumped more
than twenty feet from the track. The
accident happened in a sparsely settled
section and it was some time before the
news reached this city.

Cared for Injured.
Ambulances and physicians were imme-
diately dispatched to Moore's corner. In
the meantime residents of the neighbor-
hood did everything in their power to
relieve the injured, some of whom were
brought to the Rhode Island hospital
here. Others of the injured were cared
for in nearby houses.

Many of the victims of the accident
were of English birth and were employed
in the British Hosiery company's mill
and other textile factories at Thornton.

"BATTLER" WANTS MONEY Herrera's Check Protested and Suit Commenced.

LOS ANGELES, June 2.—Manager Mc-
Carthy of the Pacific Athletic club, Billy
Nolan, manager for Battler Nelson, and
a local newspaper, which acted as stake-
holder for the recent scheduled fight,
have jointly entered suit against Aurelia
Herrera, the pugilist, to compel payment
of a check of \$2000, posted by Herrera
as a forfeit in the recent fight. One-half
this amount was posted as a weight for-
feit, which is now claimed by Nolan, and
the other half as appearance money,
which is claimed by McCarthy. Herrera's
debt is among those who will receive en-
dorsements at the Cornell university com-
mencement, June 22. He is George James Sal-
isbury, Jr., and he will receive the mechanical
engineer's degree with honors.

Salisbury Will Get Degree.
Special to The Tribune.
ITHACA, N. Y., June 2.—A Salt Lake
student, who has been attending Cornell
university, has been elected to the Cornell
university commencement, June 22. He is George James Sal-
isbury, Jr., and he will receive the mechanical
engineer's degree with honors.

STATEHOOD BILL IN GOOD SHAPE

Conference Report Is Passed
Unanimously Except on
One Point.

FORAKER AMENDMENT
WAS NOT CHANGED

New Mexico and Arizona Are to
Vote Separately on Ad-
mittance.

WASHINGTON, June 2.—The Statehood
conference report was signed at 3:31
o'clock.

The report was unanimous save one
point, Senator Patterson, one of the con-
ference members, introduced by Senator
Foraker, the "crucial point," which
is the joint Statehood provision for Ariz-
ona and New Mexico. On this point the
minority members disagree. They refuse
to accede to the terms of the House bill,
which, they say, provides elaborate ma-
chinery, "for the coming election board
is intended to coerce voters to favor joint
Statehood."

Democrats Will Oppose.
The Democrats say there will be vig-
orous opposition to the conference report
in the Senate.

It strikes out the Warren amendment,
which permitted the mineral school lands
of Oklahoma to be taken up by miners,
and provides, instead, that the lands
shall be kept by the State of Oklahoma
for its schools, that they shall not be
sold until 1915 and in the meantime the
school board of the new State may lease
the lands to an election to be prescribed
by the Legislature will fix the capital per-
manently.

New Mexico and Arizona are per-
mitted to vote separately as provided by the
amendment introduced by Senator Foraker
and adopted by the Senate. It
simply provides that the constitution of
the new State shall be submitted to an
election of the people on November 6,
and if a majority of the people of either
territory vote against the constitution it
shall be rejected. The amendment was
adopted by the Senate.

At 3:50 Senator Beveridge presented the
conference report on the statehood bill to
the Senate. He made no remark beyond
stating that the report be printed. No
objection was made and the report thus
went on the calendar for consideration at
the earliest possible opportunity.

Trouble Avoided.
What might have been a serious snarl
was dextrously avoided by Speaker Can-
non late this afternoon in the House of
Representatives, when Mr. Murphy of
Missouri rose to present what he denomi-
nated a privileged resolution.

The conference reports on the rate
and statehood bills had been made and
ordered printed, when the Missouri Con-
gressman presented a resolution rescind-
ing the action of the House sending the
statehood bill to conference and provid-
ing for a vote on the House amendment.
Mr. Payne of New York, leader of the
majority, instantly made the point that

LOGAN'S VIEW OF SMOOTH'S DILEMMA

Large Class Believes Committee of Senate Did Proper Thing.

Special to The Tribune.
LOGAN, June 2.—The action in the
Smoot case by the Committee on Privi-
leges and Elections Friday, was read
here with the greatest possible interest
and eagerness this morning. The re-
peated delay and dilatory action of the
committee in past weeks had led many
people to the conclusion that nothing
would be done, and that Smoot would re-
tain his seat, some going so far as to
suggest that the administration was re-
sponsible for this result.

Eager for the Result.
However, when it was known that the
committee finally had acted and reached
a basis of conclusion, everyone became
anxious to know the result and opinions
were freely expressed by men of every
shade of opinion.

Pleased With Action.
Some took the ground that the com-
mittee's action was eminently proper, ex-
pressing the belief that no other action
was possible in view of the evidence.
Others took opposite ground, severely
censuring those who have pushed the
agitation against Smoot, as well as the
members of the committee who voted to
oust him.

Viewed in Wrong Light.
This class generally took the ground
that Smoot was being thrown out be-
cause of his religious beliefs, and consider
his rejection as religious persecution.

Apostle Should Keep Out.
Smoot has many warm friends here
who, through the whole three years past,
have stood loyally by him, championing
his cause on all occasions, but there are
many of both his religious and political
faith who are strongly opposed to the
idea of an apostle going into politics, and
through the machinery of the church
dominating the State.

Responsible for Trouble.
This class feels that Smoot made a mis-
take in entering into politics at all, and
hold him responsible for much of the ill-
will and adverse sentiment created
throughout the country against Utah
and the Mormon church.

Leading Republican Talks.
One leading Republican expressed him-
self thus when seen by The Tribune's
representative: "I am glad that the
Smoot case has been brought to a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision.
I am glad that the Senate has taken
up the case. I am glad that the com-
mittee has reached a decision. I am
glad that the Senate has taken up the
case. I am glad that the committee has
reached a decision. I am glad that the
Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee has reached a
decision. I am glad that the Senate
has taken up the case. I am glad that
the committee has reached a decision. I
am glad that the Senate has taken up
the case. I am glad that the committee
has reached a decision. I am glad that
the Senate has taken up the case. I am
glad that the committee